

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/803,323	HSU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mark Connolly	2115

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Daniel McClure (applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 26 February 2007

Time: 9:15

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12-15 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a brief interview, applicant's representative gave permission to the examiner to correct the dependencies for claims 12-15 and 17 by examiners amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/26/07  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)